JOHN M. SORICH (CA Bar No. 125223) 1 isorich@adorno.com S. CHRISTOPHER YOO (CA Bar No. 169442) 2 cvoo@adorno.com ADORNO YOSS ALVARADO & SMITH 3 A Professional Corporation 1 MacArthur Place, Suite 200 4 Santa Ana, California 92707 Tel: (714) 852-6800 5 Fax: (714) 852-6899 6 Attorneys for Defendants EMC MORTGAGE CORPORATION AND CITIBANK, N.A., 7 AS TRUSTEE FOR CERTIFICATE HOLDERS OF STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II 8 TRUST 2007-AR6, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-AR6 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 DAVID S. MEDINA and STEVEN MEDINA aka CASE NO.: 09-cv-04830 CW NO YOSS ALVARADO & SMITH 12 STEVEN SALCEDO. JUDGE: Hon. Claudia Wilken 13 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER DISMISSING 14 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$. **PREJUDICE** 15 EMC Mortgage Corporation, OneWest Bank FSB **DATE:** September 2, 2010 by acquisition of Indymac Bank FSB and 16 TIME: 2:00 PM Citibank, N.A., As Trustee For Certificateholders CTRM: "2" 17 of Structured Asset Mortgage Investments II Trust 2007-AR6, Mortgage Pass-Through **ACTION FILED:** October 9, 2009 Certificates, Series 2007-AR6 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 The Motion of Defendants EMC Mortgage Corporation ("EMC") and Citibank, N.A., as 24 Trustee for Certificateholders of Structured Asset Mortgage Investments II Trust 2007-AR6, 25 Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Series 2007-AR6 ("Citibank" and collectively, "Defendants"), 26 for an order dismissing the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") of plaintiffs David S. Medina and 27 Steven Medina aka Steven Salcedo ("Plaintiffs") with prejudice against Defendants was taken under 28

ADORNO YOSS ALVARADO & SMITH

submission on the papers.

After full consideration of the evidence, having reviewed the papers in support of the Motion, the Court rules as follows:

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion to Dismiss is granted for Plaintiffs' failure to file a further amended complaint.
- 2. The complaint against One West Bank FSB is dismissed for the same reason.
- 3. In this action, the FAC in its entirety is dismissed with prejudice as to all Defendants.
- 4. Defendants are entitled to a judgment.

DATED: September 10, 2010 By:

Honorable Claudia Wilken United States District Court Judge